

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/575,412	04/10/2006	Philip Steven Newton	FR 030123	3707	
24737 PHILIPS INT	7590 08/20/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		GIARDINO JR, MARK A			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2185		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/20/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/575,412	NEWTON ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
MARK A. GIARDINO JR	2185					

	MARK A. GIARDINO JR	2185	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one or the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belot (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	Od Con attacked Nation of Nam Co.		DTOL 204)
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ripliant Amendment (-10L-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an e	oplanation of
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Sanjiv Shah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2185			

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to applicant's argument regarding Claims 1, 15, and 20 that Sprigg does not teach 'a storage management unit for allocating a portion of the local storage arrangement to the removable storage carrier and referencing the portion with identification information respecting respective access rights to a data item stored in the portion granted to the software application stored on the removable data carrier' has been considered but is not persuasive.

It is unclear what is meant in the argument on Page 9 when applicant argues Sprigg allocates a portion of a common storage area to an application and data related to the application and now this applies to the claims. While Sprigg teaches that the applications and data are all stored on a common storage device 110 (the portion of the local storage arrangement allocated to the removable storage carrier), and that Sprigg teaches that these applications are protected (Paragraph 0055 and abstract of Sprigg) thus respecting respective access rights to a data item stored in the portion granted to the software application stored on the removable data carrier, and further that the portions have identification information (Paragraph 0034 in Sprigg) it does not appear that not allocating a portion of a common storage area to an application and data related to the application is in the language of the claim.

With regard to the arguments on claims 4-6, 8-10, and 16-19, applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.